

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,999	03/30/2006	Claus Frohberg	65084.000018	9272	
21967 HUNTON & V	7590 06/24/200 VILLIAMS LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			PAGE, I	PAGE, BRENT T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1638		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/24/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/573,999	FROHBERG, CLAUS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BRENT PAGE	1638	

	BRENT PAGE	1638	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or c application, applicant must timely file one of the followin application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Ap for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods: 	g replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this		in the final rejection whi	nhoverio leter In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0	7(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(i) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original or than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection			cause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further c (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be 		I E Delow);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate,	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pn The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.5-13.16-22.24.25.29 and 30.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by The functional language remains insufficient for one of			
claimed SEQ ID Nos would be considered to be a Clas Class 3 branching enzyme activity is not a considered significant number of embodiments in which Applicants	s 3 branching enzyme or not. Due t limitation of the claims and therefore	o lack of guidance in t the claims lack enabl	he specification, ement for a
Therefore the claims remain rejected under 35 USC 11	2 1st paragraph for enablement. Th	e claims also remain r	ejected under 35

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). ______.

13. Other: _____.

not been adequately described...

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) /Anne Marie Grunberg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1638 Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090609